

1-1-2022

The relation between surface acidity and MoO₃:Al₂O₃ ratio on the ternary mixed oxide catalysts for the conversion of propan-2-ol

HANI ZEIDAN

EBRU ERÜNAL

MUSTAFA ESEN MARTI

Follow this and additional works at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/chem>

 Part of the [Chemistry Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

ZEIDAN, HANI; ERÜNAL, EBRU; and MARTI, MUSTAFA ESEN (2022) "The relation between surface acidity and MoO₃:Al₂O₃ ratio on the ternary mixed oxide catalysts for the conversion of propan-2-ol," *Turkish Journal of Chemistry*. Vol. 46: No. 6, Article 28. <https://doi.org/10.55730/1300-0527.3505>
Available at: <https://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/chem/vol46/iss6/28>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. It has been accepted for inclusion in Turkish Journal of Chemistry by an authorized editor of TÜBİTAK Academic Journals. For more information, please contact academic.publications@tubitak.gov.tr.

The relation between surface acidity and $\text{MoO}_3\text{:Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ratio on the ternary mixed oxide catalysts for the conversion of propan-2-ol

Hani ZEIDAN¹ , Ebru ERÜNAL^{2,*} , Mustafa Esen MARTI¹ 

¹Department of Chemical Engineering, Konya Technical University, Konya, Turkey

²Department of Chemical Engineering, Çukurova University, Adana, Turkey

Received: 10.10.2021

Accepted/Published Online: 08.10.2022

Final Version: 19.12.2022

Abstract: In this study, ternary mixed oxide catalysts containing $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\text{-MoO}_3\text{-MgO}$ and $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\text{-MoO}_3\text{-WO}_3$ were prepared with a changing ratio of $\text{MoO}_3\text{:Al}_2\text{O}_3$ between 0.05 and 20.00. All catalysts showed 100% selectivity towards propene during the conversion of propan-2-ol at temperatures between 220 and 400 °C. The catalysts prepared from WO_3 possessed very strong acid sites, which cause higher catalytic activity than catalysts prepared from MgO . Besides, the ratio of $\text{MoO}_3\text{:Al}_2\text{O}_3$ was found to be directly proportional to the conversion yield for all catalysts. XRD results show that whole MgO reacted with Al_2O_3 and MoO_3 to form amorphous MgMoO_4 and MgAl_2O_4 phases during catalyst preparation. Furthermore, WO_3 reacted only with Al_2O_3 to form $\text{Al}_2(\text{WO}_4)_3$ and WO_3 phase was also detected in the final product. The higher surface acidity and catalytic activity of $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\text{-MoO}_3\text{-WO}_3$ catalyst referred to this WO_3 phase within the structure.

Key words: Catalyst, mixed oxides, propene, surface acidity, ternary system

1. Introduction

One of the most widely manufactured polymers in industry is polypropylene (PP). Its global market size was around USD 117.8 billion in 2020 and is projected to increase at an annual growth rate of 3.4% from 2021 to 2028 [1]. PP is synthesized via polymerization reaction of propene molecules and high purity of the monomers used in the process is strictly required for an efficient process. Practically 2/3 of the propene in industry is used for the production of PP [2]. Besides, it is employed for the manufacture of propene oxide (epoxypropane), acrylic (propenoic) acid, acrylonitrile (propenenitrile), butanol, and (1-Methylethyl) benzene (cumene) [1-3].

Propene is currently produced as a by-product fluid catalytic cracking and coproduct of naphtha catalytic cracking [3]. Propene obtained from refineries can be used in liquefied petroleum gas or to enhance the octane number in gasoline [1,2]. However, even negligible amounts of impurities can prevent the polymerization of propene into polypropylene. In addition, current production methods use huge amounts of energy, which also negatively influenced the greenhouse emissions [4,5].

Propene can be alternatively produced by catalytic dehydration of propan-2-ol (Eq. 1) [6]. In addition, this reaction is a probe to determine the acid-base sites of the solid catalysts [7]. In this context, the presence of acid sites (Brønsted and/or Lewis) on the catalyst lead propene formation by dehydration, whereas acetone is formed via the dehydrogenation of propanol-2-ol (Eq.2) in the presence of basic sites or acid-base couples [3,8-17].



In the literature, mainly supported catalysts have been employed for the dehydrogenation of propan-2-ol while various metal oxide catalysts were studied for the catalytic dehydration of propan-2-ol [9-22]. For example, $\text{Cu/Al}_2\text{O}_3$ catalysts were used for the selective dehydrogenation of propan-2-ol to form acetone [10]. Moreover, high selectivity was obtained with Pt/ZrO_2 catalyst at the temperatures lower than 250 °C ($T < 250$ °C) for acetone formation [11]. Au/CeO_2 catalysts were reported to enhance the selectivity towards acetone by suppressing the dehydration of propan-2-ol [12]. Lately, $\text{CuO}_x\text{PtO}_x/\text{TiO}_2\text{-ZrO}_2$ catalysts showed high efficiency and selectivity due to their basic properties [13]. Supports are

* Correspondence: eerunal@cu.edu.tr

known to increase mechanical stability of a catalyst by dispersing the catalytically active sites on the catalyst surface. However, in mass production, important reactions are still carried out with unsupported catalysts such as iron catalysts used in Haber process. Therefore, for the dehydrogenation of propan-2-ol, developing unsupported catalysts are inevitable to be used in industrial production.

Studies on the use of unsupported V_2O_5 catalyst and its modified versions for the decomposition of propan-2-ol showed a close relationship between the surface acidity and catalytic activity [9]. Similarly, $Zr(HPO_4)_2 \cdot nH_2O$ catalysts on the conversion of propan-2-ol were also recorded to be effective with total conversion and selectivity toward propene of 100% [14]. In addition, mixed forms of Al_2O_3 and TiO_2 were shown to be more effective than their single forms and the amount of surface acidity was observed to have a stronger effect than the strength of surface acidic sites [15]. Moreover, sulfate content was reported to increase the acidic sites on the surface of the catalysts and therefore, higher propene selectivity was achieved [16].

Al_2O_3 and MoO_3 are known to possess acidic active sites for various types of acid-catalyzed reactions [18-20]. However, the impact of their ratio in a ternary mixed oxide catalyst was not systemically studied yet. The addition of WO_3 to Al_2O_3/MoO_3 enhances the acidic properties of the surface [21]. On the other hand, MgO addition may result in the appearance of basic sites on the surface which would enhance acetone formation [22]. Therefore, in the present study, the catalytic activity and the effects of $MoO_3:Al_2O_3$ ratio, surface acidity and the type of acid sites on the selective dehydration of propan-2-ol to form propene were investigated for a series of $Al_2O_3-MoO_3-MgO$ (AMMO) and $Al_2O_3-MoO_3-WO_3$ (AMWO) catalysts at various temperatures.

2. Experimental


2.1. Materials

The following chemicals were used for the synthesis of the catalysts: Aluminum nitrate nonahydrate ($Al(NO_3)_3 \cdot 9H_2O$; $\geq 98.5\%$; CAS 7784-27-2), ammonia solution ($NH_3(aq)$; 25.0%; CAS 7664-41-7), calcium chloride ($CaCl_2$; $\geq 98.0\%$; CAS 10043-52-4), nitric acid (HNO_3 ; 65.0%; CAS 7697-37-2), magnesium nitrate hexahydrate ($Mg(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$; 99.99%; 13446-18-9), n-butylamine ($CH_3(CH_2)_3NH_2$; $\geq 99.0\%$; CAS 109-73-9), ammonium heptamolybdate ($(NH_4)_6Mo_7O_{24}$; 99.3-101.8%; CAS 12054-85-2), and ammonium paratungstate ($(NH_4)_{10}(H_2W_{12}O_{42}) \cdot 4H_2O$; 99.99%; CAS 11120-25-5) were purchased from Merck Co. Acetonitrile (CH_3CN ; 99.0%; CAS 75-05-8), and pyridine (C_5H_5N ; 99.5%; CAS 110-86-1) were supplied from Scharlau Co. All chemicals were used without any treatment.

2.2. Synthesis of the catalysts

The catalysts were synthesized according to the methods given in references [23-26]. The target catalyst compositions and symbols are summarized in Table 1.

Firstly, the precursors of the metal oxides were prepared as follows: $(NH_4)_6Mo_7O_{24}$ (ammonium heptamolybdate), $Mg(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ (magnesium nitrate hexahydrate), $(NH_4)_{10}(H_2W_{12}O_{42}) \cdot 4H_2O$ (ammonium paratungstate), $(NH_4)_6Mo_7O_{24}$ (ammonium heptamolybdate) and $Al(NO_3)_3 \cdot 9H_2O$ (aluminium nitrate nonahydrate) were dissolved in the least amount of bidistilled water, separately. The pH of the solutions was adjusted at 6 with the addition of 25% ammonia- or 1% nitric acid solutions under continuous stirring for 4 h. All precursors were prepared at room temperature except the tungsten precursor, which was prepared at 323 K. All precursors were in liquid phase except the alumina precursor, which was in gel phase after kept at room temperature overnight. These precursors were then mixed in appropriate amounts (Table 2) to obtain the reactions given in Eqs. 3 and 4. Firstly, $Al(OH)_3$ and $(NH_4)_6Mo_7O_{24}$ were mixed and then either $(NH_4)_{10}(H_2W_{12}O_{42}) \cdot 4H_2O$ or $Mg(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ were added to the solution. All reactions were conducted at room temperature under continuous stirring for 4 h.



The obtained AMMO and AMWO catalysts were dried at 120 °C overnight. They were then calcined first at 300 °C for 5 h and at 500 °C for another 4 h. The temperature was gradually raised (10 °C min⁻¹) from 300 °C to 500 °C. Finally, the samples were placed in a glass dryer containing anhydrous calcium chloride and cooled to room temperature before crushed in a mortar.

2.3. Characterization

The XRD patterns of the calcined catalysts (at 500 °C) were determined using a Philips Diffractometer Type PW 1830. The patterns were run with nickel-filtered Cu-K α radiation ($\lambda = 1.5418 \text{ \AA}$) at a voltage and current of 30 kV and 30 mA, respectively. The analyses were carried out in the range of $20^\circ \leq 2\theta \leq 80^\circ$. The FT-IR spectra of the catalysts were obtained with a Bruker-Vector 22 spectrophotometer in the range of 525 and 4000 cm⁻¹. The samples were prepared by mixing 0.005

g sample with 0.1 g KBr in 10 mm diameter self-supporting disks. The microstructures of the catalysts were investigated via a JSM-7610F Schottky Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM). The elemental compositions of the phases were analyzed by a Jeol JSM 5410 LV coupled with an Oxford Energy Dispersion Spectrometer (EDS) equipped with a Link-Isis software.

Table 1. The compositions and symbols of the catalysts.

AMMO catalyst			AMWO catalysts		
Sample symbol	MoO ₃ :Al ₂ O ₃ molar ratio	Sample composition (mol)	Sample symbol	MoO ₃ :Al ₂ O ₃ molar ratio	Sample composition (mol)
0.05-Mg-1	0.05	(0.25 MgO:0.05 MoO ₃ :1.00 Al ₂ O ₃)	0.05-W-1	0.05	(0.25 WO ₃ :0.05 MoO ₃ :1.00 Al ₂ O ₃)
0.25-Mg-2	0.25	(0.25 MgO:0.25 MoO ₃ :1.00 Al ₂ O ₃)	0.25-W-2	0.25	(0.25 WO ₃ :0.25 MoO ₃ :1.00 Al ₂ O ₃)
0.50-Mg-3	0.50	(0.25 MgO:0.50 MoO ₃ :1.00 Al ₂ O ₃)	0.50-W-3	0.50	(0.25 WO ₃ :0.50 MoO ₃ :1.00 Al ₂ O ₃)
1.00-Mg-4	1.00	(0.25 MgO:1.00 MoO ₃ :1.00 Al ₂ O ₃)	1.00-W-4	1.00	(0.25 WO ₃ :1.00 MoO ₃ :1.00 Al ₂ O ₃)
2.00-Mg-5	2.00	(0.25 MgO:1.00 MoO ₃ :0.50 Al ₂ O ₃)	2.00-W-5	2.00	(0.25 WO ₃ :1.00 MoO ₃ :0.50 Al ₂ O ₃)
4.00-Mg-6	4.00	(0.25 MgO:1.00 MoO ₃ :0.25 Al ₂ O ₃)	4.00-W-6	4.00	(0.25 WO ₃ :1.00 MoO ₃ :0.25 Al ₂ O ₃)
20.00-Mg-7	20.00	(0.25 MgO:1.00 MoO ₃ :0.05 Al ₂ O ₃)	20.00-W-7	20.00	(0.25 WO ₃ :1.00 MoO ₃ :0.05 Al ₂ O ₃)

Table 2. The weight percentage (wt.%) of the elements in the synthesized samples.

Element (wt.%) Sample	O	Al	Mo	Mg	W	Total
<i>0.50-Mg theo.</i>	41.30	29.35	26.09	3.26		100
<i>0.50-Mg-zone1</i>	41.19	28.97	26.59	3.25		100
<i>0.50-Mg-zone2</i>	40.68	29.12	27.01	3.19		100
<i>1.00-Mg theo.</i>	39.06	21.09	37.51	2.34		100
<i>1.00-Mg-zone1</i>	37.40	21.41	39.00	2.19		100
<i>1.00-Mg-zone2</i>	38.63	19.10	40.05	2.22		100
<i>2.00-Mg theo.</i>	34.52	13.71	48.73	3.04		100
<i>2.00-Mg-zone1</i>	35.01	14.17	48.06	2.76		100
<i>2.00-Mg-zone2</i>	37.18	12.47	47.91	2.44		100
<i>0.50-W theo.</i>	36.21	23.28	20.69		19.82	100
<i>0.50-W-zone1</i>	38.21	21.28	19.69		20.82	100
<i>0.50-W-zone2</i>	35.14	24.17	21.64		19.05	100
<i>1.00-W theo.</i>	35.53	17.76	31.58		15.13	100
<i>1.00-W-zone1</i>	34.17	16.14	33.17		16.52	100
<i>1.00-W-zone2</i>	35.14	14.14	34.50		16.22	100
<i>2.00-W theo.</i>	33.21	10.67	37.94		18.18	100
<i>2.00-W-zone1</i>	32.19	11.65	37.94		18.22	100
<i>2.00-W-zone2</i>	33.38	10.84	35.14		20.64	100
<i>2.00-W-zone3</i>	34.17	10.19	36.45		19.19	100

2.4. Measurement of surface acidity

Firstly, 0.2 g dry sample was suspended in 20 mL acetonitrile and stirred for 4 h during the titration. The suspension was then titrated with a solution of *n*-butylamine (0.005 mol L⁻¹) in acetonitrile at a flow rate of 0.1 mL min⁻¹. The electrode potential change was determined using a digital double (pH-mV) junction electrode (Inolab, WTW). Addition of the amine continued until the electrode potential (mV) stabilized. The Brønsted and Lewis acidic sites on the surface of the catalysts were identified by adsorption-desorption of pyridine [27]. The catalysts were initially degassed at 200 °C for 3 h under high vacuum followed by saturating with pyridine. Next, the excess pyridine was evaporated at 70 °C overnight. Finally, the dried samples were subjected to FT-IR spectra analysis.

The initial electrode potential value (E_i , mV) is recommended to depict the most strong acidic sites. The potential value denotes the number of total acidic sites (mmol amine/g solid) at the plateau of the titration curve. The strength of the acidic sites can be classified according to the E_i values as: 1) very strong sites ($E_i > 100$ mV), 2) strong sites ($0 < E_i < 100$ mV), 3) weak sites ($-100 < E_i < 0$), 4) very weak sites ($E_i < -100$ mV) [28,29].


2.5. Catalytic activity

The catalytic activity of the catalysts was tested for the conversion of propan-2-ol into propene using a microcatalytic pulse technique. The microcatalytic reactor was coupled with a gas chromatography (Shimadzu, GC-9A), which was also equipped with a flame ionization detector. The temperature of the reactor was monitored by using a K-type thermocouple contacted with the reactor wall. The catalysts were activated at 400 °C for 3 h with a stream of pure nitrogen gas and a flow rate of 30 mL min⁻¹. Next, 0.02 g of each catalyst was placed in the reactor tube and supported by quartz wool. Afterwards, 0.1 µL of propan-2-ol was injected on the activated catalysts and the products were analyzed by GC. The reaction was investigated in the temperature range of 220–400 °C.

3. Results and discussion


3.1. Catalyst characterization

XRD patterns of the AMMO samples are given in Figure 1. In general, Al₂(MoO₄)₃, MgMoO₄, and MgAl₂O₄ (spinel) phases are expected to form due to the calcination of MgO at 500 °C. Hence, the first reaction occurs between Al₂O₃ and MoO₃ (Eq. 5) while the second between MgO and MoO₃ (Eq. 6). Finally, the third reaction takes place between Al₂O₃ and MgO (Eq. 7). Since no extra peak for MgO was detected, all MgO seems to be consumed during the calcination.



The expected peaks for Al₂(MoO₄)₃, MgMoO₄, and MgAl₂O₄ (spinel) phases cannot be detected in Figure 1 [30–35]. However, only the characteristic peaks of MoO₃ phase could be identified for the 2.00-Mg, 4.00-Mg, and 20.00-Mg samples [31]. Especially when Mg ratio is higher, sharper MoO₃ peaks at 23.4°, 25.7°, 27.5°, 34°, 39°, and 49° show that the reaction according to Eq. 7 rather than Eq. 6 so that excess MoO₃ peaks were detected. Moreover, peaks at 23.4° and 25.7° were reported for Al₂(MoO₄)₃ phase [36]. On the other hand, the absence of MgAl₂O₄ peaks indicates that the obtained products must be in amorphous phase.

XRD patterns of AMWO samples are given in Figure 2. Similarly, the dominating phase seems to be MoO₃ for AMWO samples. Especially for the 2.00-W, 4.00-W, and 20.00-W samples, the characteristic peaks of MoO₃ and Al₂(MoO₄)₃ phases are clearly detected [31]. This also shows that most of W-including phases are in amorphous phase. When W amount decreases, peak at 49° corresponding to MoO₃ phase disappears. It is probably due to the reaction between MoO₃ and Al₂O₃ shown in Eq. 5.



The XRD analysis showed that when MoO₃:Al₂O₃ ratio is equal to or higher than 2.00 (2.00-Mg, 4.00-Mg, 20.00-Mg and 2.00-W, 4.00-W, 20.00-W), the expected phases formed. Otherwise, the crystallinity is not observed (0.25-Mg, 0.50-Mg, 1.00-Mg & 0.25-W, 0.50-W, 1.00-W) due to the materials stay in amorphous phase (0.05-Mg and 0.05-W). The calcination temperature at 500 °C may not be sufficient for the formation of crystal phases. Hence, the formation of crystalline phases upon 600 °C for similar compounds was previously mentioned in the literature [36].

The FT-IR spectra of the prepared samples have similar features except the intensities of the peaks (Figure 3). The band at 3700–3300 cm⁻¹ was associated to the hydroxyl groups while the peak appeared at 1618–1640 cm⁻¹ was attributed to adsorbed water. The FT-IR spectra of the 1.00-W, 2.00-W, 4.00-W, 20.00-W, 1.00-Mg, 2.00-Mg, 4.00-Mg, and 20.00-Mg samples indicated the stretching vibration of Mo=O bonds at 970–980 cm⁻¹ [37]. The vibration of Mo-O bonds and (Mo-O-Mo) in MoO₃ were observed at 850–900 cm⁻¹ and 590–630 cm⁻¹, respectively.




Figure 1. X-ray diffraction patterns of the MgO including (AMMO) catalysts.




Figure 2. X-ray diffraction patterns of the WO₃ including (AMWO) catalysts.




Figure 3. FT-IR spectra of the a) MgO including (AMMO) and b) WO₃ including (AMWO) catalysts.

The broad peak observed with the 1.00-W, 2.00-W, 4.00-W, and 20.00-W samples around 686 cm⁻¹ were assigned to the (W–O–W) bridging vibration of the corner-sharing WO₆ octahedron in the WO₃ crystal. On the other hand, the band about 548 cm⁻¹ could be attributed to the Mg=O bending vibration in the 1.00-Mg, 2.00-Mg, 4.00-Mg, and 20.00-Mg samples. These results, observations, and trends are consistent with the reports in the literature [38,39].

In addition to these peaks, several interferences were observed in the range of $\leq 1000\text{ cm}^{-1}$. These were due to the appearance of metal-oxygen peaks in this field, which is also consistent with the literature [40].

The SEM images of the catalysts, in Figure 4, show the formation of irregular aggregates whose dimensions are ranging between 50 and 200 μm . The aggregation formation was attributed to the applied heat during the calcination process [41]. Even though MoO_3 phase was detected for 2.0-Mg and 2.0-W samples with XRD measurements, no significant change in the surface morphology with the different $\text{MoO}_3:\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ratios can be tracked through SEM images.

The intensity-energy (I-E) plots obtained from EDS analysis were used to confirm the presence of Al, Mo, O and Mg or W elements in the samples (Figure 5). In addition, percentages of these elements were calculated. Based on the EDS results, the catalysts are free of either nitrate ions or any other possible impurities. The weight percentages (wt.%) of the elements within the samples are summarized in Table 2. The targeted molar ratios of the elements according to Table 1 were verified in the basis of measured elements via EDS 1. Moreover, MgO seems to react completely while WO_3 reacted partially and the rest remained without any interaction. This is most probably since MgO reacts with both MoO_3 and Al_2O_3 while WO_3 only reacts with Al_2O_3 and WO_3 .

3.2. Surface acidity

The acidic properties of the catalyst surfaces were investigated to interpret the catalytic activity for the conversion of propan-2-ol to propene. In general, the strength of the acidic sites of the solid catalysts is determined via titration with amines and with the help of special indicators (Hammett indicators) in anhydrous media such as dry benzene, acetonitrile, and cyclohexane [42]. In this study, the surface acidities were measured by potentiometric titration with a solution of n-butylamine in acetonitrile [25]. Since n-butylamine is a strong base, it can adsorb on both Brønsted or Lewis types of acidic sites with different strengths [28,29,43,44].




Figure 4. SEM images of the synthesized catalysts.




Figure 5. Intensity-energy loss plots of MgO including (0.50-Mg, 1.00-Mg, 2.00-Mg) and WO₃ including catalysts (0.5-W, 1.00-W, 2.00-W).

The E_i values in Figure 6 show that there are very strong acidic sites on the catalysts except for 0.05-Mg and 0.25-Mg. The acidic sites on these two samples are less strong acidic ones. It is also worth noting that the AMWO samples have stronger acidic sites ($310 \text{ mV} \leq E_i \leq 500 \text{ mV}$) than the AMMO catalysts ($52 \text{ mV} \leq E_i \leq 350 \text{ mV}$). WO₃ phase might increase the surface acidity. A bulk phase analysis would help to track WO₃ phase.

Moreover, the strength of the acidic sites significantly increased when the molar ratio of MoO₃:Al₂O₃ was increased from 0.05 to 20 at a fixed amount of WO₃ or MgO for both samples. The total surface acidity of the solids strongly depends on the composition of the catalysts (Figure 7). An oscillation was observed for AMMO catalysts. The existence of MgO, which has acidic and basic properties, may cause this behavior [22]. For the AMWO system, the surface acidity enhanced with increasing MoO₃:Al₂O₃ from 0.05 to 2 and reached $0.0488 \text{ mmol g}^{-1}$ as the maximum value. However, increasing the molar ratio to 20 sharply reduced the surface acidity to $0.0133 \text{ mmol g}^{-1}$. On the other hand, the maximum value ($0.0323 \text{ mmol g}^{-1}$) was obtained when the molar ratio of MoO₃:Al₂O₃ was 4 for the AMMO system.




Figure 6. Potentiometric titration curves of catalysts a) AMMO and b) AMWO.




Figure 7. Variation in total surface acidity as a function of $\text{MoO}_3:\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ratio for MgO including (AMMO) and WO_3 including (AMWO) catalysts.

The type of the acidic sites (Brønsted and Lewis) on the surface was determined by the FT-IR spectra of the pyridine-adsorbed surface of the related catalyst [27]. Pyridine can be chemically adsorbed in various ways (Figure 8): 1) It is bounded by hydrogen bonding that refers to Brønsted acid sites and appears in the FT-IR spectra as the bands in the range of $1400\text{--}1447\text{ cm}^{-1}$, 2) It is bounded by coordinate covalent bonds due to the attraction of the electron pair of the nitrogen atom to the Lewis site on the catalyst surface and appears in the range of $1447\text{--}1460\text{ cm}^{-1}$, $1448\text{--}1503\text{ cm}^{-1}$, and $1600\text{--}1633\text{ cm}^{-1}$, 3) Due to its adsorption on the Brønsted sites, the pyridinium anion can appear in the range of $1485\text{--}1500\text{ cm}^{-1}$, 1540 cm^{-1} , approximately 1620 cm^{-1} and approximately 1640 cm^{-1} [27].

The FT-IR spectra of the pyridine-adsorbed catalysts are given in the range of $1400\text{--}1650\text{ cm}^{-1}$ (Figure 9). The intensities of all peaks belong to the pyridine-adsorbed surface increases with the molar ratio of $\text{MoO}_3:\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$. Type 1 adsorption as a result of hydrogen bonding around approximately 1447 cm^{-1} was detected for the samples whose $\text{MoO}_3:\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ molar ratio was between 1 and 20 [43,45]. The bands shifted to the $\leq 1442\text{ cm}^{-1}$ when the molar ratio of $\text{MoO}_3:\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ decreased below 1 for both systems. These shifts may indicate a weak adsorption on the acidic sites of either Brønsted or Lewis type [46]. A characteristic band appeared at 1486 cm^{-1} might most likely due to the adsorption of pyridine on Brønsted or/and Lewis acid sites [47,48]. The pyridine adsorbed on Brønsted acid sites that form pyridinium ion appeared as two bands at 1537 and 1633 cm^{-1} [28,42,43,47]. The band and shoulder at 1605 and 1525 cm^{-1} were attributed to the adsorption on the Lewis acid sites [28,29,42]. The bands detected for AMWO system were more intense than those noticed for AMMO system.

3.3. Catalytic activity

The conversion of propan-2-ol is known to take place with three parallel reactions [3,8-17]. The first one is the dehydration of propan-2-ol to obtain the propene (olefin) in the vicinity of catalysts with strong acid sites. The second one is the dehydrogenation of propan-2-ol to acetone because of the strong base sites of the catalysts or in the redox couple. The third one is the formation of isopropyl ether that preferentially depends on the number of acid sites than their strength.

In the present study, the conversion of propan-2-ol leads only to the propene indicating a selectivity of 100% in the temperature range of $220\text{--}400\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$. This verifies the strong acid sites found via surface acidity investigations and the purity

analyses obtained with EDS measurements. Even though it was reported that impurities in reactant decrease the selectivity towards propene, obviously, impurities that decrease the surface acidity would cause a similar effect [49]. Hence, the purity analyses of the catalysts confirmed it. In addition to these findings, it was exploited that the total conversion depends substantially on the molar ratio of $\text{MoO}_3:\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$. The conversion yield increased with the molar ratio of $\text{MoO}_3:\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ for all catalysts, and the order was as follows: $0.05\text{-Mg} < 0.25\text{-Mg} < 0.50\text{-Mg} < 1.00\text{-Mg} < 2.00\text{-Mg} < 4.00\text{-Mg} < 20.00\text{-Mg}$ and $0.05\text{-W} < 0.25\text{-W} < 0.5\text{-W} < 1.00\text{-W} < 2.00\text{-W} < 4.00\text{-W} < 20.00\text{-W}$ for AMMO (Figure 10a) and AMWO (Figure 10b) systems, respectively. It can directly be related to the increasing ratio of MoO_3 or decreasing Al_2O_3 amount. It verifies the potentiometric titration analysis in which strength of acidity was also found as a function of MoO_3 . Hence, a significant increase in acidic sites was observed when $\text{MoO}_3:\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ratio was increased. The highest yield (100%) and selectivity (100%) were obtained with the 20.00-W catalyst for all reaction temperatures. However, a high yield would also be expected when $\text{MoO}_3:\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ratio would be between 4 and 20. The conversion percentages on AMWO catalysts were much higher than the ones obtained on AMMO catalysts. This was most likely due to the presence of much stronger acidic sites on the former catalysts. The potentiometric titration results already showed that the probable presence of WO_3 increased surface acidity. In addition to these, when $\text{MoO}_3:\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ratio was below 2, conversion reaches up to 50% (max.) at the highest temperature for AMMO catalysts while it reaches 70% for AMWO. Above this ratio, the conversions increase rapidly as a function of temperature. Especially for AMWO catalysts, nearly 70% conversion was recorded at 260 °C for the 2.0-W sample. The intense bands for AMWO catalysts obtained via FT-IR measurements already showed the presence of Lewis acid sites that would favor in the higher yields for this reaction.

Moreover, the results showed an increase in the conversion of propan-2-ol to propene with increasing temperature for AMMO (Figure 11a) while temperature does not have a significant effect when AMWO catalysts are employed (Figure 11b). As previously mentioned, WO_3 only reacts with Al_2O_3 ; thus, increasing the molar ratio of $\text{MoO}_3:\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ increased the amount of unreacted WO_3 , which seems to enhance the catalytic activity solely. This result can be tracked on the sample 20-W.




Figure 8. The chemisorption mechanisms of pyridine on Brønsted and Lewis acidic sites.




Figure 9. FT-IR spectra of pyridine adsorbed on the surface of the synthesized catalysts.




Figure 10. Effect of $\text{MoO}_3:\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ratio on the propene conversion of the dehydration of propan-2-ol reaction with a) AMMO and b) AMWO catalysts.




Figure 11. Effect of reaction temperature on the propene conversion of dehydration of propan-2-ol reaction over a) AMMO and b) AMWO catalysts.

4. Conclusion

A series of $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\text{-MoO}_3\text{-MgO}$ and $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\text{-MoO}_3\text{-WO}_3$ catalysts with varying $\text{MoO}_3:\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ molar ratios were synthesized. Materials were characterized with XRD, FT-IR, and SEM-EDS. For both catalyst sets prepared from either WO_3 or MgO , dominating MoO_3 phases were identified. The formation of the agglomerates (50–200 μm) on the surface of the catalysts was detected through SEM images. The EDS analyses confirmed the targeted amounts of the elements for all catalysts. Meanwhile, strong and very strong acidic sites of the Brønsted and Lewis types were observed on the surfaces of the catalysts. Moreover, the acidic site strength was found to increase as a function of increasing $\text{MoO}_3:\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ molar ratio for both systems. The relation between the acidic sites of the catalyst and the dehydration activity for the conversion of propan-2-ol into propene was verified. High conversion and selectivity towards propene was achieved. The catalytic activity of the catalysts prepared from MgO increases with temperature. However, for the catalysts prepared from WO_3 , the effect of $\text{MoO}_3:\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ molar ratio was found to be more critical rather than temperature change.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that there are no potential conflicts of interest.

References

1. Polypropylene Market Size, Share & Trends Analysis Report By Type (Homopolymer, Copolymer), By Process (Injection Molding, Blow Molding), By Application, By End Use and Segment Forecasts, 2021–2028.
2. Plotkin JS. The Propylene Quandary, American Chemical Society, 2016.
3. Zacharopoulou V, Vasiadiou ES, Lemonidou AA. Exploring the reaction pathways of bioglycerol hydrodeoxygenation to propene over molybdena-based catalysts. *ChemSusChem* 2017; 11: 264–275. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201701605>
4. Wells GM. Handbook of Petrochemicals and Processes, Gower Publishing Company Limited, Aldershot 2012: 319–322.

5. Ren T, Patel M, Blok K. Olefins from conventional and heavy feedstocks: Energy use in steam cracking and alternative processes. *Energy* 2006; 31 (4): 425–451. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2005.04.001>
6. Yu L, Yuan J, Zhang Q, Li Y, He HY et al. Propylene from renewable resources: Catalytic conversion of glycerol into propylene. *ChemSusChem* 2014; 7: 743–747. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201301041>
7. Badri N, Chhiti Y, Bentiss F, Bensitel M. Propan-2-ol (Isopropanol) Conversion to Propene and Acetone Over V₂O₅ Catalysts Supported on Activated Carbon (AC). *Smart Application and Data Analysis for Smart Cities (SADASC'18)*, 2018.
8. Manriquez ME, Lopez T, Gomez R, Navarrete J. Preparation of TiO₂–ZrO₂ mixed oxides with controlled acid–base properties. *Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical* 2004; 220 (2): 229–237. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcata.2004.06.003>
9. Fikis DV, Murphy WJ, Ross RA. The formation of propane, propylene, and acetone from 2-propanol over vanadium pentoxide and modified vanadium pentoxide catalysts. *Canadian Journal of Chemistry* 1978; 56 (19): 2530–2537. <https://doi.org/10.1139/v78-415>
10. Pepe F. Catalytic behavior and surface chemistry of copper/alumina catalysts for isopropanol decomposition. *Journal of Catalysis* 1985; 91 (1): 69–77. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9517\(85\)90289-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9517(85)90289-1)
11. Anzures FM, Hernández PS, Gutiérrez CO, Morales FJT, Hernández RP. Synthesis by the sol-gel method and characterization of Pt-promoted CuO/TiO₂-ZrO₂ catalysts for decomposition of 2-propanol. *Catalysis Today* 2020; 349: 228-234. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.CATTOD.2018.03.017>
12. Mostafa S, Croy JR, Heinrich H, Cuenya BR. Catalytic decomposition of alcohols over size-selected Pt nanoparticles supported on ZrO₂: A study of activity, selectivity, and stability. *Applied Catalysis A: General* 2009; 366 (2): 353–362. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2009.07.028>
13. Bezen MCI, Breitkopf C, El Kolli N, Krafft JM, Louis C, et al. Selective modification of the acid-base properties of ceria by supported Au. *Chemistry- A European Journal* 2011; 25: 7095–7104. <https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201002011>
14. Khalameida S, Diyuk V, Zaderko A, Sydoruk V, Zięba JS. The study of modified zirconium catalysts for selective dehydration of propan-2-ol. *Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry* 2018; 131: 2361–2371. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10973-017-6733-2>
15. Mostafa MR, Youssef AM, Hassan SM. Conversion of ethanol and isopropanol on and alumina-titania catalysts. *Materials Letters* 1991; 12 (3): 207–213.
16. Patel A, Coudurier G, Essayem N, Ve' drine JC. Effect of the addition of Sn to zirconia on the acidic properties of the sulfated mixed oxide. *Journal of the Chemical Society, Faraday Transactions* 1997; 93 (2): 347–353. <https://doi.org/10.1039/A605291F>
17. Haffad D, Chambellan A, Lavalley JC. Propan-2-ol transformation on simple metal oxides TiO₂, ZrO₂ and CeO₂. *Journal of Molecular Catalysis A-Chemical* 2001; 168 (1-2): 153–164. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1381-1169\(00\)00516-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1381-1169(00)00516-1)
18. Bernholc J, Horsley JA, Murrell LL, Sherman LG, Soled S. Brønsted acid sites in transition metal oxide catalysts: modeling of structure, acid strengths, and support effects. *Journal of Physical Chemistry* 1987; 91 (6): 1526-1530. <https://doi.org/10.1021/j100290a047>
19. Keller V, Barath F, Maire G. Catalytic activity of reduced MoO₃/α-Al₂O₃ for hexanes reforming: II. Catalytic activity and mechanistic approach using ¹³C tracer studies and probe molecules. *Journal of Catalysis* 2000; 189 (2): 269-280. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jcat.1999.2716>
20. Zelinskii ND, Kazanskii BA, Plate AF. The hydrogenolysis of methylcyclobutane was slower on palladium than on platinum and was studied at higher temperatures. *Chemical Berichte B* 1933; 66: 1415.
21. Wu J, Li S. The role of distorted WO₄ in the oxidative coupling of methane on supported tungsten oxide catalysts. *Journal of Physical Chemistry* 1995; 99 (13): 4566-4568. <https://doi.org/10.1021/j100013a030>
22. Cosimo JID, Díez VK, Ferretti C, Apesteguía CR, (n.d.). Chapter 1. Basic catalysis on MgO: Generation, characterization and catalytic properties of active sites *Catalysis* 2014; 26: 1–28. <https://doi.org/10.1039/9781782620037-00001>
23. Pathak L, Singh T, Das S, Verma A, Ramachandrarao P. Effect of pH on the combustion synthesis of nano-crystalline alumina powder. *Materials Letters* 2002; 57 (2): 380–385. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-577X\(02\)00796-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-577X(02)00796-6)
24. Jeziorowski H, Knoezinger H. Raman and ultraviolet spectroscopic characterization of molybdena on alumina catalysts. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry* 1979; 83 (9): 1166–1173. <https://doi.org/10.1021/j100472a012>
25. Zhang S, Wang J, Zhu S, Liu X, Xiong Y et al. Effects of MgCl₂ and Mg(NO₃)₂ loading on catalytic pyrolysis of sawdust for bio-oil and MgO-impregnated biochar production. *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis* 2020; 104962. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaap.2020.104962>
26. Michalow-Mauke KA, Lu Y, Ferri D, Graule T, Kowalski K et al. WO₃/CeO₂/TiO₂ Catalysts for Selective Catalytic Reduction of NO_x by NH₃: Effect of the Synthesis Method. *CHIMIA International Journal for Chemistry* 2015; 69 (4): 220–224. <https://doi.org/10.2533/chimia.2015.220>
27. Parry EP. An infrared study of pyridine adsorbed on acidic solids. Characterization of surface acidity. *Journal of Catalysis* 1963; 2 (5): 371-379. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9517\(63\)90102-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9517(63)90102-7)

28. Villabrille PI, Vazques P, Blanco M, Caceres C. Equilibrium adsorption of molybdosilicic acid solutions on carbon and silica: Basic studies for the preparation of ecofriendly acidic catalysts. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science* 2002; 251 (1): 151-159. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jcis.2002.8391>
29. Rao KN, Reddy KM, Lingaiah N, Suryanarayana I, Prasad PSS. Structure and reactivity of zirconium oxide-supported ammonium salt of 12-molybdophosphoric acid catalysts. *Applied Catalysis A: General* 2006; 300 (2): 139-146. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2005.10.051>
30. El-Shobaky HG, Mokhtar M, Ahmed AS. Effect of MgO-doping on solid-solid interactions in MoO₃/Al₂O₃ system. *Thermochimica Acta* 1999; 327 (1-2): 39-46. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-6031\(98\)00657-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-6031(98)00657-1)
31. Svintsitskiy DA, Slavinskaya EM, Stonkus OA, Romanenko AV, Stadnichenko AI et al. The state of platinum and structural features of Pt/Al₂O₃ catalysts in the reaction of NH₃ oxidation. *Journal of Structural Chemistry* 2019; 60: 919-931. <https://doi.org/10.1134/S0022476619060064>
32. Tyagi AK, Achary SN, Mathews MD. Phase transition and negative thermal expansion in A₂(MoO₄)₃ system (A=Fe³⁺, Cr³⁺ and Al³⁺). *Journal of Alloys and Compounds* 2002; 339 (1-2): 207-210. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-8388\(01\)02003-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-8388(01)02003-5)
33. Shen Y, Lu X, Ma X, He J, Zhang D et al. Oxidative desulfurization of thiophene derivatives with H₂O₂ in the presence of catalysts based on MoO₃/Al₂O₃ under mild conditions. *Kinetics and Catalysis* 2017; 58: 28-33. <https://doi.org/10.1134/S0023158417010086>
34. Grünert W, Feldhaus R, Anders K, Shpiro E, Minachev K. Reduction behavior and metathesis activity of WO₃/Al₂O₃ catalysts: III. The activation of WO₃/Al₂O₃ catalysts. *Journal of Catalysis* 1989; 120: 444-456.
35. Zhang J, Song P, Li Z, Zhang S, Yang Z et al. Enhanced trimethylamine sensing performance of single-crystal MoO₃ nanobelts decorated with Au nanoparticles. *Journal of Alloys and Compounds* 2016; 685: 1024-1033. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2016.06.257>
36. Meng D, Wang B, Yu W, Wang W, Li Z et al. Effect of citric acid on MoO₃/Al₂O₃ catalysts for sulfur-resistant methanation. *Catalysts* 2017; 7 (5): 151-161. <https://doi.org/10.3390/catal7050151>
37. Halawy SA, Mohamed MA. The use of MoO₃ and NiO (pure or mixed) oxide catalysts in the decomposition of KMnO₄. *Thermochimica Acta* 2000; 345 (2): 157-164. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-6031\(99\)00363-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-6031(99)00363-9)
38. Pang HF, Xiang X, Li ZJ, Fu YQ, Zu XT. Hydrothermal synthesis and optical properties of hexagonal tungsten oxide nanocrystals assisted by ammonium tartrate. *Physica Status Solidi A* 2012; 209 (3): 537-544. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pssa.201127456>
39. Ansari A, Ali A, Asif M, Uzzaman S. Microwave-assisted MgO NP catalyzed one-pot multicomponent synthesis of polysubstituted steroidal pyridines. *New Journal of Chemistry* 2018; 42 (1): 184-197. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C7NJ03742B>
40. de Portilla VIS. Infrared spectroscopic investigation of the structure of some natural arsenates and the nature of H-bonds in their structures. *The Canadian Mineralogist* 1974; 12 (4): 262-268.
41. Sundrarajan M, Suresh J, Gandhi RR. A comparative study on antibacterial properties of MgO nanoparticles prepared under different calcination temperature. *Digest Journal of Nanomaterials and Biostructures* 2012; 7 (3): 983 - 989.
42. Tanabe K. Solid Acid and Base Catalysts, In: Anderson JR, Boudart M (editors). *Catalysis Science and Technology*, Berlin, Heidelberg and New York, Springer-Verlag, 1981; 2 (Ch. 5): 231-273.
43. Pizzio LR, Vazquez PG, Caceres CV, Blanco MN. Supported Keggin type heteropolycompounds for ecofriendly reactions. *Applied Catalysis A: General* 2003; 256 (1): 125-139. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0926-860X\(03\)00394-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0926-860X(03)00394-6)
44. El-Sharkawy EA, Khder AS, Ahmed AI. Structural characterization and catalytic activity of molybdenum oxide supported zirconia catalysts. *Microporous and Mesoporous Materials* 2007; 102 (1-3): 128-137. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micromeso.2006.12.037>
45. Maksimov GM, Fedotov MA, Bogdanov SV, Litvak GS, Golovin AV et al. Synthesis and study of acid catalyst 30%WO₃/SnO₂. *Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical* 2000; 158 (1): 435-438. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1381-1169\(00\)00119-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1381-1169(00)00119-9)
46. Nagai M, Goto Y, Ishii H, Omi S. XPS and TPSR study of nitrated molybdena-alumina catalyst for the hydrodesulfurization of dibenzothiophene. *Applied Catalysis A: General* 2000; 192 (2): 189-199. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0926-860X\(99\)00341-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0926-860X(99)00341-5)
47. Khder AS, Ahmed AI. Selective nitration of phenol over nanosized tungsten oxide supported on sulfated SnO₂ as a solid acid catalyst. *Applied Catalysis A: General* 2009; 354 (1-2): 153-160. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2008.11.030>
48. Tyagi B, Mishra MK, Tasra A. Solvent free synthesis of 7-isopropyl-1, 1-dimethyltetralin by the rearrangement of longifolene using nanocrystalline sulfated zirconia catalyst. *Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical* 2009; 301 (1-1): 67-78. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcata.2008.11.011>
49. Wang Z, de Soto LS, Méthivier C, Casale S, Louis C, et al. A selective and stable Fe/TiO₂ catalyst for selective hydrogenation of butadiene in alkene-rich stream. *Chemical Communications* 2021; 57: 7031-7034. <https://doi.org/10.1039/D1CC02366G>